



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS -Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,064	02/04/2000	Hirofumi Ihara	N99135G-US	8280
21254	7590 06/19/2002			
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			EXAMINER	
SUITE 200	OURTHOUSE ROAD		CHUNG, DAVID Y	
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				r		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/498,064	IHARA, HIROFUMI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Chung	2871			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under					
•	cloim(a)					
4)[_]	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
51□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WITHOUT CONSIDERATION.				
· _						
	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
	ion Papers	· Closton requirement.				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the Ex	kaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		·			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No			
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) 🔲 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provisional application)	١.		
	 The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	• •				
Attachmer		3-2				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/498,064

Art Unit: ***

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-13 and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Song (U.S. 6,091,464). Note in figure 4a, the capacitor section formed by the overlap of the gate bus line 110, metal segment 150, and the extended portion of pixel electrode 130a. As shown in figure 5d, a metal such as aluminum or chromium is deposited using a sputtering method. The data line 120, the source electrode 120a, the drain electrode 120b, and metal segment 150 are formed from this metal layer. So metal segment 150 is formed of the same conductive film as data line 120. See column 3 line 30 – column 4, line 50.

Art Unit: ***

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song (U.S. 6,091,464). Because the method steps of these claims are merely a recitation of structural features of the device, they are not patentably distinct from the disclosure of Song.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-0155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

David Chung GAU 2871 06/17/02 Kenneth Parker Primary Examiner GAU 2871